

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION

CRIMINAL NO. 3:18-78

## **MOTION UNDER FEDERAL RULE 29 ACQUITTAL**

I Ronald Allen Wright, is making a Motion for a Aquittal judgement under Federal Rule 29. On count 1 the government has failed to prove mail fraud to the extent that sent or conspired with others to mail any fraudulent mailing nor have I conspired with anyone to commit any wire fraud.

1. If the government did not take the opportunity to research ucc1-308 in order to give this information to the jury to help with deliberate concerning the charge, neither did the government research and provide information for ucc3-415, in order to give this information to the jury to assist in there deliberation concerning the charge. In addition the government did not provide any professional witnesses from the board of directors of the International Uniform Commercial Code department that would be able to give testimony to the validation of what these codes mean when they are placed on an instrument.

There was an issued raised concerning the validation of the account displayed on the back of the social security card in which the government did not present a witness to testify from the Federal Reserve Bank (which is not government but a private foreign corporation). At the same time there was no charge or complaint filed by the Federal Reserve Bank concerning fraudulent activities, therefore the government didn't prove anything concerning count 1 it was all smoke and screen.

## CONCERNING COUNT 4

1. The government never proved that I filed a false tax return in 2015
2. The government never proved that I was required to file taxes or a schedule c under publication 515. (Trade or Business) According to Title 26 USC 7701, definition number 26 refers to term "Trade or Business" includes the performance of the functions of a public office. Whereas, the government never proved that I was liable for any federal income tax pursuant Title 26 USC 6331 " AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY" Section A. This code is always used whenever there is a federal tax lien, but it is always missing Section A on the Notice of Levy, because it's does not apply to the individual in which they are executing the levy against. After examining Section A of Title 26 USC 6331, it is only referring to a levy that may be made upon the accrued salary or wages of any officer, employee, or elected official, of the United States, the District of Columbia, or any agency or instrumentality of the United States or the District of Columbia, by serving a notice of levy on the employer is such officer, employee, or elected official.

Section A clearly does not refer to any private civilian , it only refers to those who are in public office and Federal employees ( as defined Title 26 USC 7701 definition 20).

Therefore I conclude that the government failed to point to any law that states that the tax return file in 2015 was false.

The only ruling in this motion could be "motion granted" because the government failed to prove that I was guilty of Count 1 and Count 4.

11/18/18



Sui juris

Ronald Allen Wright  
121 Honey Tree Road  
Columbia, South Carolina republic

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
COLUMBIA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

)

)

)

)

CRIMINAL NO. 3:18-78

v.

)

)

)

RONALD ALLEN WRIGHT

)

JORETTA JACKSON

)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I Ronald Allen Wright am of such age and discretion to be competent to serve papers on this 14 day of November, 2018, a copy of the

**Documents: MOTION UNDER FEDERAL RULE 29 - AQUITTA**

**Parties Served:**

**DWAYNE PEARSON, US Attorney  
U.S. Department of Justice  
Wells Fargo Building  
1441 Main Street Suite 500  
Columbia, SC 29201**

14/18/18

 **Sui juris**

**Ronald Allen Wright  
121 Honey Tree Road  
Columbia, South Carolina republic**